

# Blockchain use cases, benefits, application & UX

Synthesis document: what the OIV nomenclature registry, the verifiable e-label and the batch passport make possible in practice — for whom, with which benefits, and what the application will look like.

# 1 The foundation already built

---

This document rests on building blocks that already exist, not on intentions. The **oiv-schemas** repository contains the `oiv-wine-elabel v1` data schema (a transposition of the OIV International Standard for the Labelling of Wines, 2025 edition, cross-mapped with Regulation (EU) 2021/2117), the controlled vocabularies (product categories, allergens, additive classes, sugar thresholds), the anchoring specification (JCS RFC 8785 canonicalisation + SHA-256 + Merkle trees) with its command-line tools, and the compiled **SchemaRegistry** contract, ready to deploy on XDC (Apothem testnet, then mainnet). On the showcase side, the **static front v3** is clean, self-contained and deployed.

**The invariant principle:** the blockchain never stores data — only fingerprints, timestamps and signatures. The data stays with the actors. The chain answers a single question, but irrefutably: *“did this document exist in this exact form at this date, and who attested it?”*

## 2 The use cases, one by one

---

### UC-1 — The winegrower publishes a compliant, provable e-label

**Situation.** Every cuvée placed on the EU market must provide a nutrition declaration and an ingredient list, most often via a QR code. **Flow.** The winegrower enters the cuvée in the application (or imports it from cellar software); the e-label is generated according to the OIV schema; at market release, its fingerprint joins the day's batch, whose Merkle root is anchored in the SchemaRegistry in a single transaction. **What goes on-chain.** One 32-byte fingerprint per batch of e-labels — nothing else. **Benefit.** In an inspection or dispute (“was this label modified after the fact?”), the producer holds enforceable proof of anteriority, independent of any provider; and the QR printed on thousands of bottles keeps resolving even if the service operator disappears.

### UC-2 — The consumer scans the bottle

**Flow.** Scan the QR → an instant e-label page in the phone's language: nutrition, ingredients, allergens, vintage, varieties — and a discreet badge “integrity verified on ...” that recomputes the fingerprint client-side and compares it with the anchor. **What goes on-chain.** Nothing: read-only. **Benefit.** Full transparency with zero collection: no personal data, no cookies, no tracking — the requirement of section 1.5 of the OIV standard turned into a trust argument. It is the anti-advertising-platform.

### UC-3 — An inspector or importer verifies a batch

**Situation.** A control agent, importer or distributor wants to be sure the information accompanying a batch is the original. **Flow.** From the e-label page or the pro console: fingerprint check, anchoring date, version comparison if the label was updated (the supersedes field publicly chains the versions). **Benefit.** A documentary check that used to take e-mail exchanges becomes a one-minute verification, trusting no one's word — only the mathematics.

### UC-4 — The laboratory signs an analysis certificate

**Flow.** The laboratory, identified by its DID (decentralised identifier) listed in the consortium's directory, issues a Verifiable Credential (W3C) referencing the OIV Compendium methods used (e.g. OIV-MA-AS312-01A); the certificate's fingerprint is attached to the batch. **Benefit.** A tamper-proof analysis certificate verifiable by any downstream link — answering the classic traceability trap: the chain does not make data true; the *accredited signatory* makes it trustworthy.

### UC-5 — The certifier attests organic or sustainability claims

**Flow.** Same mechanics as UC-4: the certification body signs a structured attestation within the OIV-CST 518-2016 framework. It appears on the public e-label (“certified by...”, verifiable in one click). **Benefit.** Environmental claims — increasingly regulated — become signed, dated

statements rather than unverifiable logos, protecting the honest producer and the consumer alike.

### UC-6 — The batch passport follows the wine from cellar to distribution

**Flow.** A batch's digital dossier aggregates over time: declared practices (Code of Oenological Practices vocabulary), analysis certificate (UC-4), certifications (UC-5), transfers of custody (cooperative cellar → trade → logistics → importer), each event signed by its actor. **Benefit.** Enforceable end-to-end traceability, aligned with the digital product passport logic the EU is generalising — the sector is ready before the obligation, not after.

### UC-7 — The software vendor builds on the registry

**Flow.** Cellar software, an ERP or a labelling platform consumes the registry: “OIV labelling schema v1.2” designates an exact, versioned object whose fingerprint is public. Generation, validation and anchoring go through open APIs. **Benefit.** The end of divergent home-made transpositions of the standard; market tools become clients of the commons instead of competing silos — the project's most powerful diffusion lever.

### UC-8 — The consortium publishes a new standard version

**Flow.** After a resolution of the OIV General Assembly, the schema committee publishes the transposed version: `publishSchema()` anchors the fingerprint, the public event is authoritative, the history is append-only. **Benefit.** The governance of the reference framework is transparent and auditable: who published what, and when — with no way to rewrite history.

*Outlook (deliberately deferred): UC-9, proof of storage conditions — sensors on open platforms such as Raspberry Pi in cellars and transport, readings periodically anchored, valuable for age-worthy wines, insurance and the secondary market. This case opens once batch passports have created the demand.*

### 3 The benefits, actor by actor

| Actor                                | Main benefit                                                 | What changes day to day                                         |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Winegrower / cellar</b>           | Provable EU + OIV compliance, no provider lock-in            | 10 minutes per cuvée, zero blockchain knowledge, one durable QR |
| <b>Cooperative cellar / trade</b>    | Pooling (one account, all members), traced custody transfers | Bulk publication, ERP exports                                   |
| <b>Trade body / appellation org.</b> | A compliance tool offered to the vineyard, harmonised data   | Pooled offers, anonymous aggregate statistics                   |
| <b>Laboratory</b>                    | Tamper-proof certificates, OIV methods referenced            | Digital signature built into the analysis flow                  |
| <b>Certifier</b>                     | Attestations verifiable in one click                         | Less logo fraud, more value in the certificate                  |
| <b>Importer / distributor</b>        | Instant documentary verification                             | Fewer disputes, solid import files                              |
| <b>Consumer</b>                      | Complete information, zero tracking                          | One scan, the label's truth, in their language                  |
| <b>Public inspector</b>              | Proof of anteriority and integrity                           | Faster, better-targeted controls                                |
| <b>Software vendor</b>               | Stable, versioned, free reference framework                  | API integration instead of re-transposing the standard          |

And transversally, what the blockchain brings compared with a plain database: **proof of anteriority** (the timestamp depends on no server), **survivability** (the registry outlives its operator), **non-repudiation** (a signature cannot be retracted), and **neutrality** (no actor — not even XDCellar — can rewrite history). Its limits, owned: it does not guarantee truth — hence the directory of accredited actors — and it is not a storage medium — hence the “fingerprints only” architecture.

## 4 Application vision: three surfaces, one rule

---

The rule: **the blockchain is invisible**. Nobody — winegrower, consumer, laboratory — sees a wallet, a gas fee or a hexadecimal hash in the foreground. The operator anchors in the background (sponsored transactions, grouped into daily batches); cryptography only appears as a trust badge and a “proof” page for whoever wants to dig.

### Surface A — The producer workspace (web app)

A sober web application, graphically continuous with the site (dark background, magenta accents), designed for someone whose craft is wine, not IT. Key screens: **My cuvées** (list with status: draft / published / anchored, market release date, downloadable QR); **E-label editor** (a guided form shaped by the OIV schema: controlled vocabularies become menus, the nutrition declaration is computed from the analysis certificate or the OIV factors, the invariants — no personal data, no commercial content — are guaranteed by construction, with a live preview of the public page and the mandatory physical particulars); **Publication** (one “Release to market” button, one sentence of explanation — “your label will be sealed tonight in the public registry” — no jargon); **Proofs** (per batch: fingerprint, anchoring date, link to the XDC explorer, Merkle path exportable as PDF for an inspection file).

### Surface B — The public e-label page (the QR)

This is the most-seen screen: it must be impeccable. An ultra-light static page (same philosophy as front v3: pure HTML/CSS/JS, no framework), loading in under a second on a phone in a cellar or a store aisle. Content in order of importance: the wine's identity (name, appellation, vintage, varieties), nutrition declaration and ingredients (the regulatory obligation), allergens highlighted, signed attestations (“analysed by...”, “certified by...”), and in the footer the **“Integrity verified”** badge — one click opens it in “proof mode”: fingerprint recomputed in the browser, compared with the on-chain anchor, all verifiable without taking our word for it. Multilingual according to the phone's language, zero cookies, zero requests to advertising third parties — and this absence is *displayed*, because it is a differentiator.

### Surface C — The pro console (laboratories, certifiers, inspectors)

An austere, efficient interface: submitting an attestation (drop the certificate PDF, reference the OIV methods, sign with the organisation's key), verifying a batch (paste a lot number or scan a QR → full history of versions and attestations), managing signature delegations within the organisation. The directory of accredited actors, governed by the consortium, is publicly browsable.

### Three journeys to picture it

**The winegrower, 10 minutes.** Sign in (e-mail, no wallet) → “New cuvée” → 4 guided steps (identity, composition from the winemaking sheet, auto-computed nutrition, review) → “Release to market” → the QR arrives by e-mail and in the workspace, ready for the printer. Anchoring happens on its own, in the evening, with the day's other e-labels.

**The consumer, 5 seconds.** Scan → the page opens in their language → they read what the law guarantees them, without being tracked → if curious, the badge shows them the proof; if not, they see no technology at all.

**The laboratory, 2 minutes.** Console → “New attestation” → drop the report, tick the OIV methods, enter the lot number → sign → the certificate instantly appears on the batch's public e-label, stamped with the laboratory's name.

## Technical architecture (summary)

| Layer                        | Choice                                                                                   | Why                                                     |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Public e-label pages         | Static (pure HTML/CSS/JS), content-addressed                                             | Speed, durability, replicable by any operator           |
| Producer workspace & console | Light web app + API (Node) built on the oiv-schemas repo (ajv validation, JCS hashing)   | Reuses tools already written and tested                 |
| Anchoring                    | SchemaRegistry on Apothem (pilot) then XDC mainnet; daily Merkle batches; sponsored fees | Near-zero marginal cost, no user wallet                 |
| Pro identities               | DIDs + Verifiable Credentials (W3C), consortium directory                                | Trust comes from accredited signatories                 |
| Data                         | Nothing personal on-chain, ever; e-labels replicated and content-addressed               | GDPR + section 1.5 of the OIV standard, by construction |

## Product roadmap

**MVP (to plug into the current front):** public demo e-label page + fingerprint verifier, SchemaRegistry deployed on Apothem, publication of schema v1.0.0 — the registry's first public transaction. **Pilot:** producer workspace with 3-5 estates through a trade body, BlockBFC as territorial anchoring relay, first printed QRs. **Extension:** pro console with a partner signing laboratory, then full batch passports. Every step produces something showable — to winegrowers, to the OIV, to funders.

## 5 Related documents

- XDCellar Whitepaper v2.0 (EN/FR) — full positioning, token-free consortium governance
- oiv-schemas repository v1.0.0-draft — e-label schema, vocabularies, OIV→EU mapping, tools, SchemaRegistry contract
- Pitch deck EN/FR — the case in 13 slides
- Static front v3 — deployed showcase, host of the future e-label pages

Internal working document of the XDCellar project. References to the OIV's work are an independent implementation and imply no endorsement by the OIV.